

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,804	01/11/2002	Paul H. DcKeyser	FAST-1	9078
34284 Robert D. Fish	7590 03/12/2007	,	EXAM	INER
Rutan & Tucke	er, LLP	TEKLE, DANIEL T		
611 ANTON E SUITE 1400	BLVD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA MESA	A, CA 92626	2621		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
4 ⁰	,		,804	DEKEYSER ET AL.				
4	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit				
		Daniel ²	Tekle	2621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Majors of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum some to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. latutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may d will expire SIX (6) Ma application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•	-			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 24 January 2	006.					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-4,7-13,15 and 16 is/are	pending in the appli	cation.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-4, 7-13, 15-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electior	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or	b) objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin	g the correction is req	uired if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
<u>,</u> 11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies				I Stane			
	application from the Internation			en received in this Mationa	rotage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action			ot received.				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			v Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 			Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other: _					

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant amendment and argument filed December 04, 2006 regarding the 102 rejection is persuasive and withdraw due to the newly added limitation; however the 103 rejection respect to claims 2-4, and 7-13 under Nagasaka et al. in view of Akiba et al. are found not to be persuasive.

Regarding Claim 7: In page 4 of the remarks applicant argues "a looping the data on the memory by overwriting a portion of the memory".

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Akiba et al. disclose a ring buffer that overwrites or rewrite video data before it display (column 17 lines 60-67 and column 18 lines 1-37). The ring buffer and looping memory share the same function other-than the storage size; however it is obvious type to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace a ring buffer as a substitute of looping memory since they share same function.

Additional applicant argues on page 4 of the remark "It does not provide an index to store different addresses of the memory of each of a plurality of sequential frames of the data recorded".

In response, the examiners respectfully disagree. It is inherent to store a video data or index in different address of each plurality frame in the ring buffer.

Also applicant argues on page 4 paragraphs 5 of the remark of the obviousness type of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,804

Art Unit: 2621

In response, the examiners respectfully disagree for the same subject matter as explained above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-11, 2-4, 12-13 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasaka et al.(US 5974218) and further in view of Akiba et al.(US 6377745)

Regarding Claim 7-11 and 2: Nagasaka et al. disclose the future invention of a method of recording a data comprising: providing a memory; using an index to store different addresses of the memory for each of a plurality of sequential frames of the data recorded (column 11 lines 8-26); retrieving at a least a portion of the data by accessing the memory addresses from the index (column 15 and 16, line 64-67 and line 1-6 respectively); "and looping the data on the memory by overwriting a portion of the memory(not disclose by Nagasaka et al.)"; further the index identifies individual ones of the plurality of frames using at least one of frame number, time, and date (column 15 and 16, line 64-67 and line 1-6 respectively); further the different addresses are start addresses (column 11 lines 8-26); storing individual ones of the plurality of consist of sequential frames in a digital format (column 11 line 18-30); the

Art Unit: 2621

index comprises a table (column 8 and 9, line 53-67 and 1-7 respectively); appending multiples ones of the addresses to the index sequentially during recording (column 10 and 11, lines 65-67 and lines 1-7 respectively). Nagasaka et al. did not point out "and looping the data on the memory by overwriting a portion of the memory".

However Akiba et al. disclose a ring buffer that rewrite or overwrite video data (column 17 lines 60-67, column 18 lines 1-37 and figure 17)

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the ring buffer of Akiba et al. into Nagasaka et al. invention in order to present rapid and easy search of a desired location video data.

Regarding claims 12-13 and 3-4: See the teaching of Nagasaka et al. above.

Nagasaka et al. do not teaches an index to identify addresses that can be overwritten; overwritten a portion of the memory used to store an earlier one of the plurality of sequential frames with a later one of the plurality of sequential frames, and recording corresponding information in the index; providing a loop remnant directory to determine a changing boundary between newly ones of the frames; further deallocating at least some of the address from the index; however Akiba et al. teaches an arrangement of frame buffer correspond to the index picture in a ring buffer technique; a method of frame buffer rewritten one at a time by switching the index picture to the next one; the relationship directory between the new or old frame buffer and index picture is shifted upward one by one (column 17 lines 61-67 and 18 lines 1-36).

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the ring buffer structure of **Akiba et al**. into the digest

Application/Control Number: 10/043,804

Art Unit: 2621

picture making method of **Nagasaka et al**. in order to store video frame into buffer memory that has not yet been recorded by overwriting the oldest one with a new one. **Regarding Claim 15-16:** Claims 15-16 rejected for the same subject matter as claim 1 above; in additional akiba et al. disclose allocating a portion of the memory from being overwritten by subsequent recording in the loop **(column 18 lines 11-37)**.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Tekle whose telephone number is 571-270-1117. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 5:00pm M-R and 7:30-4:00 Every other F..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Tekle

TRAN EXAMINER PATENTER 2800